



NCEA Information Pack Level 3 German

This document is a synthesis of the information available to teachers on the NZQA and TKI websites.

Table of Contents

Information for the Centre for Languages	3
Moderator Newsletters	4
Context Elaborations	5
Conditions of Assessment - Internal Standards	8
Achievement Standard - Spoken presentation	13
Internal Assessment Resource - Spoken presentation	15
Achievement Standard - Interact	23
Internal Assessment Resource - Interact	26
Achievement Standard - Writing portfolio	36
Internal Assessment Resource - Writing portfolio	38
Assessment Specifications - External Standards	46
Achievement Standard - Listening	48
Achievement Standard - Reading	50
Examination timetable 2020	52

[LEARNING LANGUAGES NEWSLETTER](#)

Every quarter, on behalf of the Ministry of Education, Future Learning Solutions – Centre for Languages produces a comprehensive newsletter with information about what is happening in the language teaching community. The newsletter has general information as well as subject specific articles and stories.

If you would like to contribute or subscribe to the Learning Languages newsletter, please contact Kath Doody, Programme Coordinator – fls.languages@uniservices.co.nz

■ [LEARNING LANGUAGES Facebook page - @FLS.Languages](#)

Our social media page allows you to stay informed about what is happening in Languages across the country – events, workshops, scholarships etc. Like our page to remain informed and connected.

Alexandra Toeniges is the National German Adviser, based in Auckland at the office of Future Learning Solutions.

As the National German Adviser in New Zealand, she is part of the Centre for Languages team in Auckland but also connected to the German Goethe Institute in Wellington.

Alexandra's role is to support the promotion and development of the German language education in New Zealand. Her main focus is on:

- Offering workshops, courses and meetings focusing on teaching materials, resources and pedagogy that promote intercultural communicative language teaching in German
- Supporting schools with advice and guidance on establishing and sustaining German language programmes
- Providing information on networking opportunities for teachers of German
- Providing advice and guidance on immersion opportunities, scholarships and professional learning opportunities both in New Zealand and Germany
- Providing opportunities for higher education students from both New Zealand and Germany to experience life and education in New Zealand or Germany working as an English or German language assistant
- Participating in academic and cultural events (German Film Festival, Goethe Society Club etc.)

■ Here is a link to the German page on the NZQA website - [German Resource page](#) you will find links to all the NCEA levels, past examinations, assessments, moderator reports etc.

■ NZQA NCEA internal assessment exemplars of student work - [German Student work exemplars](#) takes you to exemplars of student work to help you with your own judgements when marking internal assessments for your students:

■ Other Resources for teachers: The web₃ site, German in Aotearoa New Zealand has valuable information for teachers. The link <https://ganz.ac.nz/> v2020

[MODERATOR NEWSLETTERS](#)

The link above will take you to the webpage for the Moderator Newsletters. These will now come out twice per year, and will give you updates and information on any changes to NCEA. Please **ensure** that you check this page from time to time, as the information they provide can be very important and have a significant effect on the way you are assessing your students. The moderator newsletters are for ALL languages in the learning languages area.

Examples from 2017 – 2018 include:

September 2018

Evidence requirements for standards

NZQA encourages innovative ways of collecting student evidence that may be used for assessment purposes. This could include evidence that contributes to multiple standards (integrated assessment), or different modes of collecting evidence such as blogs, video clips, etc.

Teachers are reminded that students submitting evidence beyond the requirements of a standard may impact on student workload. Additionally, a succinct response addressing standard criteria is preferable to a large volume of student evidence that may not necessarily deliver evidence of all the criteria of the standard(s) in question.

For more information on gathering evidence, refer to [Gathering evidence of achievement](#).

Digital submission of Languages materials for moderation

Please see the [guide to making digital submissions \(DOCX, 85KB\)](#).

It is important that students in the Interaction standards are clearly identified – this is beyond simply identifying the first speaker, e.g. if it is not video, then some sentences in English which give an indication of what the assessed speaker says are required.

February 2017

The Ministry of Education published updated versions for the Level 3 Achievement Standards in most subjects in November, 2016. The changes appear to be limited to a new planned review date of 31 December 2018, and Explanatory Note 1 now including a reference to Te Marautanga o Aotearoa for all subjects except Te Reo, English and Learning Languages.

However, assessors are advised to check the revised Level 3 standards relating to their programmes against the previous versions. Both the new and the previous versions appear together on the relevant NZQA [subject pages](#)

For previous newsletters see link [:](#)

<https://www.nzqa.govt.nz/ncea/subjects/languages/moderator-newsletters/february-2017>

German L8: Context elaborations

Students are expected to engage with and respond clearly and critically to a variety of extended texts, including authentic texts (those not created or modified specifically for language learners). They are expected to use the language more variably (flexibly) and with greater effectiveness (fitness for purpose and appropriateness), at times in sustained interactions and extended texts. They are expected to explore the views of others, develop and share personal perspectives, and justify, support, or challenge ideas and opinions in different situations and on matters that are beyond their immediate experience. In all their output, it is expected that students will use their developing knowledge of linguistic and cultural forms to help them create meaning.

The following context elaborations are examples for teacher guidance only. They should not be used as assessment tools.

- [Context and text type](#)
- [Examples showing how the student](#)
- [Intercultural communicative competence](#)
- [What are context elaborations?](#)

Example 1: Conversation about exchange experiences

Markus — Wie fandest du denn deine Zeit in Deutschland?

Harriet — Also, am Anfang hatte ich schon ein bisschen Heimweh, aber am Ende wollte ich gar nicht zurück. Vor allem meine Gastfamilie war klasse. Und die Schule war so viel besser als bei uns. Ich habe mich so frei gefühlt, weil ich keine Uniform tragen musste und den ganzen Nachmittag frei hatte.

Markus — Aber Schule fängt dafür ganz früh an.

Harriet — Das hat mir nichts ausgemacht. Ich bin sowieso Frühaufsteherin.

Markus — Du bist immer so positiv. Gab es auch etwas, das dir überhaupt nicht gefallen hat?

Harriet — Hmm, ich weiß nicht. Meine Austauschpartnerin hatte viel Druck in der Schule, aber ich natürlich nicht. Und es hat mich frustriert, wenn ich etwas über Neuseeland gefragt wurde, das ich nicht wusste.

Markus — Das kann ich verstehen. Hier denken alle, ich bin der große Deutschland-Experte, aber ich kenne mich eigentlich nur in Hessen aus.

Harriet — Hessen ist doch toll, aber das nächste Mal möchte ich noch mehr von Deutschland sehen. Dann kann ich dir etwas über den Rest von Deutschland erzählen.

Markus — In Ordnung. Nächste Woche mache ich eine Wanderung auf Stewart Island. Wenn ich zurückkomme, erzähle ich dir was darüber.

Harriet — Das ist dann mal ein ganz anderer Austausch.

Context and text type

A conversation involving Harriet, a New Zealand student who has returned from an exchange in Germany, and Markus, a German student on exchange in New Zealand. They are discussing their exchange experiences.

Text type

Conversational exchange – informal. Interactive.

Examples showing how the student is:

Communicating information, ideas, and opinions through increasingly extended and varied texts

Harriet gives information and reflects on what she might do differently another time:

- Hessen ist doch toll, aber das nächste Mal möchte ich noch mehr von Deutschland sehen.

She makes comparisons:

- Meine Austauschpartnerin hatte viel Druck in der Schule, aber ich natürlich nicht.

Harriet's response to a question enables her to produce extended text, for example, in the response that

- begins with: Also, am Anfang hatte ich schon ...

The text illustrates the use of conversation markers and responses, which acknowledge replies and sustain

- interaction: Also, In Ordnung.

It is important to remember that this is spoken interaction. Therefore, spoken features such as pronunciation, intonation, rhythm patterns, delivery speed, audibility, and stress patterns have a bearing on the overall effectiveness of the communication and must also be taken into consideration.

Exploring the views of others

In response to the question Markus asks:

- Wie fandest du denn deine Zeit in Deutschland?

Harriet expresses her views:

- Ich habe mich so frei gefühlt, weil ich keine Uniform tragen musste und den ganzen Nachmittag frei hatte.

Markus explores Harriet's views. Through the conversation Harriet expresses her views on a range of topics following prompts by Markus.

Developing and sharing personal perspectives

During the conversation, Harriet shares and comments on her experiences, for example:

- Und es hat mich frustriert, wenn ich etwas über Neuseeland gefragt wurde, das ich nicht wusste.

When prompted by Markus' question, Harriet takes a little time to consider her

- response: Hmmm, ich weiß nicht.

After some reflection, she shares her personal views:

- Meine Austauschpartnerin hatte viel Druck in der Schule, aber ich natürlich nicht. Und es hat mich frustriert, wenn ich etwas über Neuseeland gefragt wurde, das ich nicht wusste.

Justifying own ideas and opinions

Harriet expresses an opinion and justifies it:

- Das hat mir nichts ausgemacht. Ich bin sowieso Frühaufsteherin.

She expresses a view and gives her reasoning:

- Hessen ist doch toll, aber das nächste Mal möchte ich noch mehr von Deutschland sehen. Dann kann ich dir etwas über den Rest von Deutschland erzählen.

Supporting or challenging the ideas and opinions of others

Harriet offers a supportive

- comment: Hessen ist doch toll.

She produces extended text in response to a challenge from

-

Markus: Gab es auch etwas, das dir überhaupt nicht gefallen hat?

Engaging in sustained interactions and producing extended texts

Harriet thoughtfully responds to questions. This reflection produces an extended text:

- Hmm, ich weiß nicht. Meine Austauschpartnerin hatte viel Druck in der Schule ...
She sustains the conversation, mostly by responding to comments or questions from
- Markus: Das hat mir nichts ausgemacht. Ich bin sowieso Frühaufsteherin.

Exploring how linguistic meaning is conveyed across languages

Both speakers use typical features of conversational exchanges. These include, but are not

- limited to: conversation starters:
 - Sag mal ...
- questions:
 - Gab es auch etwas, das dir überhaupt nicht gefallen hat?
- use of pronoun forms to mark the relationship between speakers in a social setting, for example: Du bist immer so positiv.
- hesitation markers:
 - Hm...
Partikelwörter, for example:
 - Das ist dann mal ein ganz anderer Austausch.

Analysing how the use of the target language expresses cultural meanings

Both are speaking German but two distinct cultural contexts are evident, despite Harriet's time in the target culture. Markus illustrates a direct, critical perspective. Harriet copes well with Markus' directness and open challenge to her 'always positive' approach as he pushes her more explicitly to get the critical response he is looking for:

- Du bist immer so positiv. Gab es auch etwas, das dir überhaupt nicht gefallen hat?

She illustrates a more conciliatory attitude:

- Hessen ist doch toll, aber das nächste Mal möchte ich noch mehr von Deutschland sehen.

Harriet mostly analyses her own responses to places and situations during her time in Germany, for

- example: Und es hat mich frustriert, wenn ich etwas über Neuseeland gefragt wurde, das ich nicht wusste.

Markus is openly critical of others' responses to him as a representative of his country:

- Hier denken alle, ich bin der große Deutschland-Experte, aber ich kenne mich eigentlich nur in Hessen aus.

Opportunities for developing intercultural communicative competence

Explore the concept of 'directness' in German culture, considering what people talk about and what they choose not to talk about. Make comparisons and connections with own cultures. For example, investigate to what extent it is acceptable to talk about money (income, cost of purchases, and so on) or to express a critical viewpoint in these cultures.

Last updated October 7, 2013



NCEA Level 3 Languages

Conditions of Assessment

General Information

Subject Reference	German
Domain	German
Level	3

This document provides guidelines for assessment against internally assessed standards. Guidance is provided on:

- appropriate ways of, and conditions for, gathering evidence
- ensuring that evidence is authentic
- any other relevant advice specific to an achievement standard.

NB: It is expected that teachers are familiar with additional generic guidance on assessment practice in schools published on the [NZQA](http://www.nzqa.govt.nz) website. This should be read in conjunction with these Conditions of Assessment.

This document should be read in conjunction with *The New Zealand Curriculum* (Ministry of Education, 2007).

For All Standards

Internal assessment provides considerable flexibility in the collection of evidence. Evidence can be collected in different ways to suit a range of teaching and learning styles and a range of contexts of teaching and learning. Care needs to be taken to allow students opportunities to present their best evidence against the standard(s) that are free from unnecessary constraints.

It is recommended that the design of assessment reflects and reinforces the ways students have been learning. Collection of evidence for the internally assessed standards could include, but is not restricted to, an extended task, an investigation, digital evidence (such as recorded interviews, blogs, photographs or film) or a portfolio of evidence.

It is also recommended that the collection of evidence for internally assessed standards should not use the same method that is used for any external standards in a

programme/course, particularly if that method is using a time bound written examination. This could unfairly disadvantage students who do not perform well under these conditions.

A separate assessment event is not needed for each standard. Often assessment can be integrated into one activity that collects evidence towards two or three different standards from a programme of learning. Evidence can also be collected over time from a range of linked activities (for example, in a portfolio). This approach can also ease the assessment workload for both students and teachers.

Effective assessment should suit the nature of the learning being assessed, provide opportunities to meet the diverse needs of all students and be valid and fair.

Where manageable, and after further learning has taken place, students may be offered a maximum of one further opportunity for assessment against an assessment standard within a year.

Authenticity of student evidence needs to be assured regardless of the method of collecting evidence. This needs to be in line with school policy. For example, for an investigation carried out over several sessions, this could include teacher observations or the use of milestones such as meetings with students, journal or photographic entries recording progress etc.

Unmodified extracts from any external source should not be included without acknowledgement of sources and will not be considered for the final achievement judgement.

Specific Information for Individual Internal Achievement Standards

Achievement Standard Number	3.2
Title	Give a clear spoken presentation in GERMAN that communicates a critical response to stimulus material
Number of Credits	3
Version	1

This achievement standard involves explaining and justifying a viewpoint in culturally appropriate spoken German.

Sufficiency of Evidence

Provided the evidence given meets the communicative purpose(s) of the task(s), the length of the evidence may vary however at all times, quality is more important than length.

It is suggested that the presentation be approximately 2-3 minutes.

Where a presentation is made by a group, each person will be assessed individually.

Collection of Evidence

Digital audio or video evidence are suitable ways of collecting evidence.

Prompts

Communication is the focus of the assessment. The presentation may be supported by prepared notes, cue cards, props, other supporting material, a copy of the text, but not read verbatim and in its entirety.

Aids to memory such as those suggested above should not detract from the presentation.

Feedback and Feed Forward

Teachers may provide suitable feedback and feed forward during the preparation phase of the presentation. This may be on the written or oral aspects of the presentation.

Teacher feedback and feed forward on student's drafts should be holistic to ensure the final presentation remains a true representation of the student's ability. More than one opportunity for feedback could compromise authenticity.

Presentation

This standard assesses the student's ability to present to an audience. The audience will be determined by the purpose of the presentation e.g. a Youtube clip, a speech competition, a podcast to an exchange school.

Achievement Standard Number	3.3
Title	Interact clearly using spoken GERMAN to explore and justify varied ideas and perspectives in different situations
Number of Credits	6
Version	1

This achievement standard involves finding out about, evaluating, accounting for and sustaining own views, and giving explanations or evidence to support or challenge the ideas and perspectives of others.

Sufficiency of Evidence

A range of interactions involves at least two interactions.

Tasks should provide opportunities for students to demonstrate language use across a range of contexts and for a range of purposes, and enable achievement at all levels. Provided the evidence given meets the communicative purpose(s) of the task(s), the length of the evidence may vary however at all times, quality is more important than length.

Four to five minutes is a suggested guideline for appropriate length.

Where an interaction involves more than one person being assessed, each person will be assessed individually.

In interactions, there is a level of spontaneity not apparent in prepared oral presentations. Features of interactions which contribute to natural communication include such things as:

- referring back to things that have already been said
- clarifying

- negotiating meaning
- using colloquial and formulaic expressions appropriate for the contexts.

Collection of Evidence

Evidence of at least one interaction should be collected by digital audio or video recording.

Feedback and Feed Forward

Teacher feedback and feed forward after listening to interactions may improve students understanding of the interaction criteria for future interactions.

Achievement Standard Number	3.5
Title	Write a variety of text types in clear GERMAN to explore and justify varied ideas and perspectives
Number of Credits	5
Version	1

This achievement standard involves accounting for and sustaining own views and finding out about, evaluating and giving explanations or evidence to support or challenge the ideas and perspectives of others

Evidence

A range of commonly used resources may be used to support drafting and reworking. These include search engines, word lists, spell and grammar checkers, pamphlets, dictionaries, text books, grammar notes, people – friends, family, native speakers. Students need to write texts to fulfil communicative intents that are as realistic as possible.

Sufficiency of Evidence

A variety of texts involves at least two pieces of writing.

Tasks should provide opportunities for students to demonstrate language in different contexts and for a range of purposes, and enable achievement at all levels. Provided the evidence meets the communicative purpose(s) of the task(s), the length of the evidence may vary however at all times, quality is more important than length.

500 words, 1000 kana or 500 German characters is a suggested guideline for this standard.

Collection of Evidence

Evidence of at least two text types should be collected. This ensures that the assessor has sufficient evidence to attest that a student is working at the specified level reasonably consistently rather than accidentally and occasionally. Selection of evidence would be made by the student. The final selection is considered as a whole for grade allocation.

Feedback and Feed Forward

Teacher and/or peer feedback and feed forward may be provided where drafting and reworking is a feature of the writing task.

Teacher feedback and feed forward on student's drafts should be holistic to ensure the final product remains a true representation of the student's ability. More than one opportunity for feedback could compromise authenticity.

Achievement Standard

Subject Reference	German 3.2		
Title	Give a clear spoken presentation in German that communicates a critical response to stimulus material		
Level	3	Credits	3
		Assessment	Internal
Subfield	Languages		
Domain	German		
Status	Registered	Status date	4 December 2012
Planned review date	31 December 2020	Date version published	4 December 2012

This achievement standard involves giving a clear spoken presentation in German that communicates a critical response to stimulus material.

Achievement Criteria

Achievement	Achievement with Merit	Achievement with Excellence
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Give a clear spoken presentation in German that communicates a critical response to stimulus material. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Give a clear, convincing spoken presentation in German that communicates a critical response to stimulus material. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Give a clear, effective spoken presentation in German that communicates a critical response to stimulus material.

Explanatory Notes

- 1 This achievement standard is derived from the Learning Languages learning area, Communication strand, Curriculum Level 8 of *The New Zealand Curriculum*, Learning Media, Ministry of Education, 2007; and is related to the material in the *Teaching and Learning Guide for Languages*, Ministry of Education, 2012 at <http://seniorsecondary.tki.org.nz>.

- 2 *Give a clear spoken presentation* involves explaining and justifying a viewpoint in culturally appropriate spoken German. Communication is achieved overall despite inconsistencies in, for instance:
 - language features
 - pronunciation
 - intonation
 - gesture
 - rhythm patterns
 - delivery speed or audibility
 - stress patterns

- tones.

Give a clear, convincing spoken presentation involves explaining and justifying a viewpoint, in German that is generally credible and connected. A range of language and language features are selected and used that are fit for purpose and audience. Communication is not significantly hindered by inconsistencies.

Give a clear, effective spoken presentation involves explaining and justifying a viewpoint, in German that is controlled and integrated. A range of language and language features are capably selected and successfully used that are fit for purpose and audience. Communication is not hindered by inconsistencies.

- 3 *Clear* refers to language that gives no doubt as to intended meaning.
- 4 *Critical response* refers to a presentation which includes analysis, interpretation, or evaluation of stimulus material.
- 5 *Stimulus material* refers to any linguistically and culturally appropriate material used as a starting point for a spoken presentation, such as text, poster, music video, lyrics, literature, TV, film, personal experience and the observation of cultural practices. Contexts may be concrete or abstract. The stimulus material may either be chosen by the student or provided by the assessor.
- 6 Conditions of Assessment related to this achievement standard can be found at www.tki.org.nz/e/community/ncea/conditions-assessment.php.

Replacement Information

This achievement standard replaced unit standard 12240 and AS90565.

Quality Assurance

- 1 Providers and Industry Training Organisations must have been granted consent to assess by NZQA before they can register credits from assessment against achievement standards.
- 2 Organisations with consent to assess and Industry Training Organisations assessing against achievement standards must engage with the moderation system that applies to those achievement standards.

Consent and Moderation Requirements (CMR) reference

0233



Internal Assessment Resource

Languages Level 3

This resource supports assessment against:

Achievement Standard 91549

Give a clear spoken presentation in German that communicates a critical response to stimulus material

Resource title: Reviewing a cultural activity

3 credits

This resource:

- Clarifies the requirements of the Standard
- Supports good assessment practice
- Should be subjected to the school's usual assessment quality assurance process
- Should be modified to make the context relevant to students in their school environment and ensure that submitted evidence is authentic

Date version published by Ministry of Education	March 2017 Version 2 To support internal assessment from 2017
Quality assurance status	These materials have been quality assured by NZQA. NZQA Approved number: A-A-03-2017-91549-02-6387
Authenticity of evidence	Teachers must manage authenticity for any assessment from a public source, because students may have access to the assessment schedule or student exemplar material. Using this assessment resource without modification may mean that students' work is not authentic. The teacher may need to change figures, measurements or data sources or set a different context or topic to be investigated or a different text to read or perform.

Internal Assessment Resource

Achievement Standard Languages 91549: Give a clear spoken presentation in German that communicates a critical response to stimulus material

Resource reference: Languages 3.2A v2 German

Resource title: Reviewing a cultural activity

Credits: 3

Teacher guidelines

The following guidelines are supplied to enable teachers to carry out valid and consistent assessment using this internal assessment resource.

Teachers need to be very familiar with the outcome being assessed by Achievement Standard Languages 91549. The achievement criteria and the explanatory notes contain information, definitions, and requirements that are crucial when interpreting the Standard and assessing students against it.

Context/setting

This activity requires the student to give a clear oral presentation in which they review a school or class trip relating to a cultural activity, or another type of cultural activity that they have participated in.

The school or class trip could be, for example, to the movies, a restaurant, a museum or art gallery exhibition, speciality shops, live performances, or a festival. The cultural activity could take place inside or outside the classroom and could include, for example, a cooking class, a guest speaker, craft making, or a festival celebration.

The cultural activity could also take place within another curriculum area but it must be related to the German culture.

Ensure that the cultural activity chosen offers plenty of scope for students to engage with ideas in ways that are expected at level 8 of the curriculum.

This resource material should be read in conjunction with:

- the Senior Secondary Teaching and Learning Guides for languages
<http://seniorsecondary.tki.org.nz/>
- NCEA Level 3 Languages Conditions of Assessment
<http://ncea.tki.org.nz/Resources-for-aligned-standards>

Conditions

This Standard assesses the student's ability to speak to an audience and has effective communication as its focus.

You will need to specify the amount of class time that the student has to prepare their presentation.

The students may work in pairs or in groups for their initial preparation and brainstorming but they will give their presentations and be assessed individually. The presentations will be recorded for assessment purposes.

Provided a student's presentation meets the communicative purpose of the task, the length may vary. A length of about two to three minutes is suggested. However, at all times quality is more important than length.

Resource requirements

Audio and video recording equipment.

Additional information

None.

Internal Assessment Resource

Achievement Standard Languages 91549: Give a clear spoken presentation in German that communicates a critical response to stimulus material

Resource reference: Languages 3.2A v2 German

Resource title: Reviewing a cultural activity

Credits: 3

Achievement	Achievement with Merit	Achievement with Excellence
Give a clear spoken presentation in German that communicates a critical response to stimulus material.	Give a clear, convincing spoken presentation in German that communicates a critical response to stimulus material.	Give a clear, effective spoken presentation in German that communicates a critical response to stimulus material.

Student instructions

Introduction

This assessment activity requires you to give a clear oral presentation in German that reviews a German cultural activity that you have taken part in.

Teacher note. You will need to add information about the cultural activity the students will take part in. You will also need to let them know how their presentations will be recorded and stored.

You may work in pairs or in groups for your initial preparation and brainstorming. But you will give your presentation and be assessed individually.

You can use prepared notes, cue cards, props, photos, and other supporting material but you must not read directly from your notes. If you read directly from your notes, you will not meet the Standard.

Your presentation must be your own work. If you include extracts from external sources, you must acknowledge those sources. Any extracts will not contribute towards your final grade.

You may not use language from the language samples unless it has been significantly reworked.

You will need to record your presentation for assessment and moderation purposes.

The suggested length for your presentation is about two to three minutes. However, quality is more important than quantity.

You will be assessed on how effectively you communicate your response to the cultural activity.

Task

Prepare and give a clear spoken presentation in German in which you review a German cultural activity that you have participated in. Your review must be more than

a description of what you saw or what happened: “a critical response” will include analysis, interpretation, or evaluation.

Whether you are expressing your own viewpoint or supporting or challenging the ideas or opinions of others, explain and justify your thinking.

In your presentation you could include, for example:

- a description of the cultural activity (who, when, where, what)
- your impressions and reactions (how you felt and what you thought before, during, after the activity)
- what you learnt from the experience (about protocols, values, traditions, the way of life)
- what you have learnt on a personal level from the experience (for example, has it led to a change of opinion?)
- a comparison between cultural elements in the activity and relevant aspects of your own culture
- a balanced evaluation of the benefits of such an experience
- whether you recommend taking part in a similar cultural activity.

The suggestions above are just some of the ways in which you could communicate a critical response to the cultural activity. In your presentation, you may want to comment on different aspects and in different ways.

In your presentation, aim to:

- structure your points, in a coherent sequence
- use a range of language and language features that are fit for the purpose of the presentation and the audience
- minimise inconsistencies (for example, in vocabulary, expressions, sentence structures, pronunciation, intonation, rhythm patterns, delivery speed, audibility, stress, and tones) that could hinder communication
- use gestures and body language as appropriate in German.

Assessment schedule: Languages 91549 German – Reviewing a cultural activity

Evidence/Judgements for Achievement	Evidence/Judgements for Achievement with Merit	Evidence/Judgements for Achievement with Excellence
<p>The student gives a clear spoken presentation in German in which they review a cultural activity that they have participated in.</p> <p>The student communicates a critical response to stimulus material, that is, the presentation includes analysis, interpretation, or evaluation of stimulus material.</p> <p>The presentation explains and justifies a viewpoint, for example, the student shares personal perspectives and explores the views of others.</p> <p>The student includes New Zealand Curriculum level 8 communication skills, language, and cultural knowledge that are appropriate for the task and the intended audience, for example: <i>Ihr wisst schon, wir sind neulich in das neue Restaurant gegangen. Das war ein tolles Erlebnis, wo fast jeder etwas Neues probiert hat. Ich persönlich hatte zum Beispiel nicht gewusst, dass Käsespätzle eigentlich nur Nudeln mit Käse sind. Es wurde immer gesagt, dass wir unbedingt Sauerkraut probieren sollten, aber es hat niemandem außer dem Lehrer gefallen.</i></p> <p>Communication is achieved overall despite inconsistencies (in, for example, language features, pronunciation, intonation, gesture, rhythm patterns, delivery speed or audibility, stress patterns, or tones).</p> <p><i>The example above relates to only part of what is required, and is just indicative.</i></p>	<p>The student gives a clear, convincing spoken presentation in German in which they review a cultural activity that they have participated in.</p> <p>The student communicates a critical response to stimulus material, that is, the presentation includes analysis, interpretation, or evaluation of stimulus material</p> <p>The presentation explains and justifies a viewpoint, for example, the student shares personal perspectives and explores the views of others.</p> <p>The language is generally credible and connected.</p> <p>The student selects and uses a range of language and language features that are fit for the purpose and the audience.</p> <p>The student includes New Zealand Curriculum level 8 communication skills, language, and cultural knowledge that are appropriate for the task and the intended audience, for example: <i>Wir haben neulich mit der ganzen Klasse und dem Lehrer einen Ausflug in das neue Restaurant gemacht. Wir waren nicht enttäuscht, obwohl einiges nicht so war, wie wir es erwartet hatten. Zum Beispiel waren Käsespätzle im Vergleich zu unseren eigenen Gerichten nichts Neues, aber andererseits war Sauerkraut echt ekelig, obwohl der Lehrer gesagt hat, dass es nur Kohl mit Salz ist.</i></p> <p>Communication is not significantly hindered by inconsistencies (in, for example, language features, pronunciation, intonation, gesture, rhythm patterns, delivery speed or audibility, stress patterns, or tones).</p> <p><i>The example above relates to only part of what is required, and is just indicative.</i></p>	<p>The student gives a clear, effective spoken presentation in German in which they review a cultural activity that they have participated in.</p> <p>The student communicates a critical response to stimulus material, that is, the presentation includes analysis, interpretation, or evaluation of stimulus material</p> <p>The presentation explains and justifies a viewpoint, for example, the student shares personal perspectives and explores the views of others.</p> <p>The language is controlled and integrated.</p> <p>The student capably selects and successfully uses a range of language and language features that are fit for the purpose and audience</p> <p>The student includes New Zealand Curriculum level 8 communication skills, language, and cultural knowledge that are appropriate for the task and the intended audience, for example: <i>Unser letzter Restaurantbesuch war ein unvergessliches Erlebnis. Ich hätte nicht gedacht, dass Käsespätzle, einfach Nudeln und Käse, so lecker schmecken könnten. Sauerkraut aber hat jeden außer dem Lehrer enttäuscht, trotz seiner oft-wiederholten Meinung, dass es aus nur Kohl und Salz besteht. Glücklicherweise gibt es bei uns in Neuseeland nichts Ähnliches.</i></p> <p>Communication is not hindered by inconsistencies (in, for example, language features, pronunciation, intonation, gesture, rhythm patterns, delivery speed or audibility, stress patterns, or tones).</p> <p><i>The example above relates to only part of what is required, and is just indicative.</i></p>

Final grades will be decided using professional judgement based on a holistic examination of the evidence provided against the criteria in the Achievement Standard.

Clarifications for Level 3 Languages

Presentation

Show: [Languages Homepage](#) | [All Languages clarifications](#)

Give a clear spoken presentation that communicates a critical response to stimulus material

Updated December 2016. The section on feedback and feed forward has been updated.

Feedback and feed forward on drafts

Teacher feedback and feed forward on students' drafts should be holistic to ensure that the final product remains a true representation of the student's ability. More than one opportunity for feedback will compromise authenticity. Complex language, with few or no errors, may indicate that too much feedback has been given.

Language should be the student's own work. Resources should be used appropriately, and large chunks of lifted language should be either avoided completely or referenced and not included in the assessed work.

Content

These standards involve explaining and justifying a viewpoint and communicating a critical response. This includes analysis, interpretation, or evaluation of the stimulus material.

Students need to ensure that there is a clear link between their presentation and the stimulus material.

The best presentations are to the point and close to the allocated times. Development does not equal length. Suggested time for a level 3 presentation is two to three minutes. The purpose of this presentation is delivery to an audience. This could be either a face to face or an online audience. Tasks should ensure that students will have a context which requires a presentation as opposed to a piece of writing, e.g. a video/podcast application for a place on an exchange.

Communication and Language

As this is a spoken presentation, inconsistencies do not relate solely to errors in vocabulary or grammar. Inconsistencies in communication may relate to the following: language features, pronunciation, intonation, rhythm patterns, delivery speed, audibility, stress patterns, or tones. Incorrect language/inconsistencies will affect the grade to the extent that they hinder communication.

Language features should be taken into account when allocating a grade. A speaker who speaks very quickly without pausing appropriately for new sentences may have a presentation which would receive Excellence as a piece of writing, but which will receive a Merit for this standard. Inconsistencies in delivery speed and stress patterns may mean that the communication of the message is hindered. Delivery may ultimately affect the grade.

The nature of the language features used will also be determined by the fact that this is a spoken presentation, e.g. rhetorical questions, imperatives, use of pauses for emphasis etc.

Delivery of presentation

Cue cards/text should only be used for support, and students may not read their presentation in its entirety. If they do so, they cannot be awarded the standard.

Achievement Standard

Subject Reference	German 3.3				
Title	Interact clearly using spoken German to explore and justify varied ideas and perspectives in different situations				
Level	3	Credits	6	Assessment	Internal
Subfield	Languages				
Domain	German				
Status	Registered	Status date	4 December 2012		
Planned review date	31 December 2020	Date version published	4 December 2012		

This achievement standard involves interacting clearly using spoken German to explore and justify varied ideas and perspectives in different situations.

Achievement Criteria

Achievement	Achievement with Merit	Achievement with Excellence
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Interact clearly using spoken German to explore and justify varied ideas and perspectives in different situations. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Interact clearly using convincing spoken German to explore and justify varied ideas and perspectives in different situations. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Interact clearly using effective spoken German to explore and justify varied ideas and perspectives in different situations.

Explanatory Notes

- This achievement standard is derived from the Learning Languages learning area, Communication strand, Curriculum Level 8 of *The New Zealand Curriculum*, Learning Media, Ministry of Education, 2007; and is related to the material in the *Teaching and Learning Guide for Languages*, Ministry of Education, 2012 at <http://seniorsecondary.tki.org.nz>.
- Interact clearly using spoken German* involves taking an active part in discussion with a degree of fluency and spontaneity. Taking an active part in discussion may be demonstrated by accounting for and sustaining own views. Spontaneity refers to the ability to maintain and sustain an interaction without previous rehearsal. Interaction may be hindered in some places by inconsistencies in, for instance:
 - language features
 - pronunciation
 - intonation
 - rhythm patterns
 - delivery speed or audibility

- stress patterns
- tones.

Interact clearly using convincing spoken German involves interaction showing:

- use of a range of language that is fit for purpose and audience
- generally successful selection from a repertoire of language features and strategies to maintain the interaction.

Interaction is not significantly hindered by inconsistencies.

Interact clearly using effective spoken German involves interaction showing:

- successful use of a range of language that is consistently fit for purpose and audience
- skilful selection from a repertoire of language features and strategies to maintain the interaction.

Interaction is not hindered by inconsistencies.

- 3 *Clearly* refers to language that gives no doubt as to intended meaning.
- 4 *Explore and justify varied ideas and perspectives* involves finding out about, evaluating, and giving explanations or evidence to support or challenge the ideas and perspectives of others.
- 5 *Different situations* refers to a range of culturally appropriate contexts in spoken German eg informal and formal, social, conversational, cultural, familiar and impromptu or unrehearsed contexts. Interactions may be face-to-face or technologically facilitated.
- 6 Interactions are characterised by:
 - a genuine purpose
 - negotiating meaning
 - initiating and maintaining
 - participating and contributing
 - contextually appropriate language
 - use of cultural conventions eg courtesies, gestures
 - use of interactive strategies, such as fillers, questioning, interrupting, recognising cues, agreeing and disagreeing, thanking, encouraging, apologising, pausing, prompting, seeking clarification.

Not all characteristics may be evident in one interaction.
- 7 The quality of the selection of interactions, considered as a whole, is more important than the length.
- 8 Conditions of Assessment related to this achievement standard can be found at www.tki.org.nz/e/community/ncea/conditions-assessment.php.

Replacement Information

This achievement standard replaced unit standard 12239 and AS90566.

Quality Assurance

- 1 Providers and Industry Training Organisations must have been granted consent to assess by NZQA before they can register credits from assessment against achievement standards.
- 2 Organisations with consent to assess and Industry Training Organisations assessing against achievement standards must engage with the moderation system that applies to those achievement standards.

Consent and Moderation Requirements (CMR) reference

0233



Internal Assessment Resource

Languages Level 3

This resource supports assessment against:

Achievement Standard 91550

Standard title: Interact clearly using spoken German to explore and justify varied ideas and perspectives in different situations

Credits: 6

Resource title: Teenage life

Resource reference: Languages 3.3A v3 German

This resource:

- Clarifies the requirements of the Standard
- Supports good assessment practice
- Should be subjected to the school's usual assessment quality assurance process
- Should be modified to make the context relevant to students in their school environment and ensure that submitted evidence is authentic

Date version published
by Ministry of Education

March 2017 Version 3

To support internal assessment from 2017

Quality assurance status

These materials have been quality assured by NZQA.
NZQA Approved number: A-A-03-2017-91550-03-6389

Authenticity of evidence

Teachers must manage authenticity for any assessment from a public source, because students may have access to the assessment schedule or student exemplar material.

Using this assessment resource without modification may mean that students' work is not authentic. The teacher may need to change figures, measurements or data sources or set a different context or topic to be investigated or a different text to read or perform.

Internal Assessment Resource

Achievement standard: 91550

Standard title: Interact clearly using spoken German to explore and justify varied ideas and perspectives in different situations

Credits: 6

Resource title: Teenage life

Resource reference: Languages 3.3A v3 German

Teacher guidelines

The following guidelines are supplied to enable teachers to carry out valid and consistent assessment using this internal assessment resource.

Teachers need to be very familiar with the outcome being assessed by the achievement standard. The achievement criteria and the explanatory notes contain information, definitions, and requirements that are crucial when interpreting the standard and assessing students against it.

Context/setting

This assessment requires students to present recordings of a minimum of two interactions in German in which they discuss topics related to teenage life.

The scenarios used should reflect the interests of your students. For example, if it is more relevant, include a debate about cellphone use in schools here and in Germany instead of the impact of technology on education.

This assessment activity could be used in conjunction with Achievement Standard 91552 *Write a variety of text types in clear German to explore and justify varied ideas and perspectives*. In other words, students could be assessed for their interactive speaking and their writing using the same learning context. If this is done, ensure that the students are not achieving double credit for simply reusing the same ideas and language.

This resource material should be read in conjunction with:

- the Senior Secondary Teaching and Learning Guides for languages
<http://seniorsecondary.tki.org.nz/>
- NCEA Level 3 Languages Conditions of Assessment
<http://ncea.tki.org.nz/Resources-for-Internally-Assessed-Achievement-Standards>

Conditions

The context for each assessment piece must be different (and involve different participants) so that students have the opportunity to use a variety of language and language features in German. Consult with students when making decisions about participants or media (for example, whether the interaction is face-to-face or using communication technology).

The interactions should be digitally recorded for marking and moderation purposes. Methods include videoing, digitally recording in a computer lab, or using a digital

voice recorder, cellphone, or MP3 player. Recordings must be safely stored and of good enough quality for all participants to be heard and distinguished clearly.

Your overall judgement will be made on the basis of the total evidence contained in the recordings, and should embody confidence that the student is working at that level reasonably consistently rather than accidentally and occasionally.

Note that the technology context used here is very similar to that used in assessment resource 3.5B. If students are being assessed using both resources, change one of these contexts.

Resource requirements

Recording equipment.

Additional information

Students will need to store their recordings in a safe place, for example, in a folder on a classroom computer or the teacher's laptop or on a class blog or wiki.

Internal Assessment Resource

Achievement standard: 91550

Standard title: Interact clearly using spoken German to explore and justify varied ideas and perspectives in different situations

Credits: 6

Resource title: Teenage life

Resource reference: Languages 3.3A v3 German

Student instructions

Introduction

This assessment activity requires you to take part in and digitally record a minimum of two interactions in German about teenage life.

In your interactions, you should:

- express, explore, and justify (with explanations or evidence) your own ideas and perspectives
- explore and support/challenge (with explanations or evidence) the ideas and perspectives of others
- use language and language features that are fit for purpose and audience.

You will be assessed on how effectively you use spoken German to explore and justify varied ideas and perspectives.

Conditions

Your teacher will give you dates for your interactions and instructions on how the recordings should be stored.

You will be assessed individually.

It is suggested that your interactions total about four to five minutes, but quality is more important than quantity.

You may not use cue cards or count a pre-learnt role-play or dialogue as an interaction, but you may use materials that are authentic and appropriate to the context (for example, a map or an image).

All work must be your own. You may not use any language from the language samples in the assessment schedule unless it has been significantly reworked. Because this Standard assesses interaction, the language you use should fit naturally into the discussion.

Task

The following scenarios provide contexts for three interactions. The bullet points for each scenario are only suggestions about what you might discuss.

Teacher note: For each scenario, assign (or consult with students about) suitable pairs, groups, and media (for example, face-to-face or using technology).

Is the grass really greener?

An exchange student from Germany has joined your class. He/she is really interested in the differences and similarities between teenage life here and back in their country. Have a discussion about the pros and cons of being a teenager here in New Zealand as opposed to being a teenager growing up in Germany. You could consider the following:

- the possible advantages and disadvantages of growing up in New Zealand
- what you wished New Zealand had here but is something that is easily accessible in Germany (such as access to a variety of shows)
- comparisons and contrasts between life in Germany and in New Zealand
- future employment prospects.

You could do this as part of a group discussion in class, with your teacher and another student, with a native speaker and another student, or through the use of a social networking tool such as Skype.

Technophile or technophobe?

The exchange student has commented on the way technology is used in the classroom is different to back in their country. This has prompted the class to debate the moot that “Technology has had a positive impact on education”. You and your two other teammates are brainstorming ideas. You could consider the following:

- the differences between the way technology is used in Germany and in New Zealand
- the positive and negative impacts of technology use in education
- whether technology is creating better educated students
- what technologies you use in your own education
- how language teaching and learning benefit from technology
- what side of the moot you hope your team gets.

Remember the days of the old school yard

Your teacher either grew up or has spent time in Germany as an exchange student. It has been a few years since they have lived there and, like anywhere, life has changed. Your teacher and the exchange student had a passionate discussion about why their respective era is the better era to have grown up in. They have asked you to take sides and explore the opposing person’s view.

You could consider the following in your discussion:

- daily life in the different eras
- different approaches to education and schooling
- socialising and interests (for example, sports and other leisure activities)
- the arts (for example, literature, music, architecture)
- food and drink.

You could do this as part of a group discussion in class, one-on-one with your teacher, or one-on-one with an international student.

If you choose to do the discussion with your teacher you will need to be prepared to lead the discussion in order to show that you can maintain and sustain the conversation using language features and strategies.

In your interactions, aim to:

- speak clearly, so that your meaning is understood
- demonstrate a degree of fluency and spontaneity (that is, maintain and sustain interactions without previous rehearsal)
- use appropriate interactive strategies such as fillers, asking unprepared questions and discussing interesting details, interrupting, recognising cues, prompting, thanking, repeating, inviting agreement or disagreement, seeking clarification
- make appropriate use of cultural conventions such as courtesies and gestures.

As far as possible, avoid inconsistencies that might hinder communication (for example, inconsistencies in language features, pronunciation, intonation, rhythm patterns, delivery speed or audibility, stress patterns, or tones).

Assessment schedule: Languages 91550 German – Teenage life

Evidence/Judgements for Achievement	Evidence/Judgements for Achievement with Merit	Evidence/Judgements for Achievement with Excellence
<p>The student has produced a portfolio containing a selection of recordings of at least two spoken interactions. The student’s overall contribution to the total interactions is about four to five minutes.</p> <p>Across the recordings, the student has interacted clearly using spoken German.</p> <p>The student has taken an active part in the interactions, exploring and justifying varied ideas and perspectives.</p> <p>The student accounts for and sustains their own views.</p> <p>The student provides explanations or evidence to support or challenge the ideas and perspectives of the other participants.</p> <p>The student’s participation is characterised by:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • a degree of fluency and spontaneity (that is, they can maintain and sustain an interaction without previous rehearsal) • use of appropriate interactive strategies such as fillers, asking unprepared questions and discussing interesting details, interrupting, recognising cues, prompting, thanking, repeating, inviting agreement or disagreement, seeking clarification • appropriate use of cultural conventions such as courtesies and gestures. <p>The student uses appropriate New Zealand Curriculum level 8 communication skills, language and cultural knowledge, for example:</p>	<p>The student has produced a portfolio containing a selection of recordings of at least two spoken interactions. The student’s overall contribution to the total interactions is about four to five minutes.</p> <p>Across the recordings, the student has interacted clearly using convincing spoken German.</p> <p>The student has taken an active part in the interactions, exploring and justifying varied ideas and perspectives.</p> <p>The student accounts for and sustains their own views.</p> <p>The student provides explanations or evidence to support or challenge the ideas and perspectives of the other participants.</p> <p>The student’s participation is characterised by:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • use of a range of language that is fit for purpose and audience • generally successful selection from a repertoire of language features and strategies to maintain the interaction • a degree of fluency and spontaneity (that is, they can maintain and sustain an interaction without previous rehearsal) • use of appropriate interactive strategies such as fillers, asking unprepared questions and discussing interesting details, interrupting, recognising cues, prompting, thanking, repeating, inviting agreement or disagreement, seeking clarification 	<p>The student has produced a portfolio containing a selection of recordings of at least two spoken interactions. The student’s overall contribution to the total interactions is about four to five minutes.</p> <p>Across the recordings, the student has interacted clearly using effective spoken German.</p> <p>The student has taken an active part in the interactions, exploring and justifying varied ideas and perspectives.</p> <p>The student accounts for and sustains their own views.</p> <p>The student provides explanations or evidence to support or challenge the ideas and perspectives of the other participants.</p> <p>The student’s participation is characterised by:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • successful use of a range of language that is consistently fit for purpose and audience • skilful selection from a repertoire of language features and strategies to maintain the interaction • a degree of fluency and spontaneity (that is, they can maintain and sustain an interaction without previous rehearsal) • use of appropriate interactive strategies such as fillers, asking unprepared questions and discussing interesting details, interrupting, recognising cues, prompting, thanking, repeating, inviting agreement or disagreement, seeking clarification

<p><i>Teacher – Für Teenager in den 70er Jahren in Deutschland war fast alles besser als heute!</i></p> <p><i>Student – Das ist doch nicht wahr! Sie haben oft gesagt, dass wir es heutzutage viel besser haben als Sie.</i></p> <p><i>Teacher – Ach, das stimmt nicht. Ihr habt wahrscheinlich nicht gut verstanden ... unser Essen zum Beispiel war immer frisch und gesund.</i></p> <p><i>Student – Was!? Nur weißes Brot, und jeden Freitag Fisch mit Pommes? Das ist nicht so gesund.</i></p> <p><i>Teacher – Nein, aber es gab damals keine Fast-Food-Ketten wie heute, und wir hatten alle einen Gemüsegarten.</i></p> <p><i>Student – Einen Gemüsegarten? Sie haben aber nicht nur Gemüse gegessen, oder?</i></p> <p><i>Teacher – Klar. Aber unsere Straßen waren auch viel sicherer. Wir konnten immer draußen spielen, in der Sonne, ohne Hut und Sonnencreme ...</i></p> <p>Communication is achieved overall, although interactions may be hindered in some places by inconsistencies (in, for example, language features, pronunciation, intonation, rhythm patterns, delivery speed or audibility, stress patterns, or tones).</p> <p><i>The examples above are indicative samples only.</i></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • appropriate use of cultural conventions such as courtesies and gestures. <p>The student uses appropriate New Zealand Curriculum level 8 communication skills, language and cultural knowledge, for example:</p> <p><i>Teacher – Die guten alten Zeiten waren doch viel besser für Teenager als heutzutage. Die 70er Jahre, zum Beispiel.</i></p> <p><i>Student – Aber haben Sie nicht immer wieder gesagt, dass es uns heutzutage viel besser ist als früher?</i></p> <p><i>Teacher – Nein, gar nicht. Wir hatten zum Beispiel viel mehr frisches und gesundes Essen als ihr heutzutage.</i></p> <p><i>Student – Sie haben ja gesagt, es gäbe nur weißes Brot, und dass Sie jede Woche Fisch mit Pommes gegessen haben. Das kann nicht gesund sein.</i></p> <p><i>Teacher – Nein, aber stellt euch vor ... wieviel fettiges Fast-Food esst ihr in einer Woche? Und ihr esst auch nicht so viel Gemüse wie wir damals.</i></p> <p><i>Student – Sie haben sicher nicht nur Gemüse gegessen, und heutzutage gibt es ein größeres Angebot an Vegetarischem und Salaten als damals.</i></p> <p><i>Teacher – Stimmt. Außerdem hatten wir keine Angst auf der Straße, wo wir den ganzen Tag und oft bis spät in der Nacht spielen konnten ...</i></p> <p>Interactions are not significantly hindered by inconsistencies (in, for example, language features, pronunciation, intonation, rhythm patterns, delivery speed or audibility, stress patterns, or tones).</p> <p><i>The examples above are indicative samples only.</i></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • appropriate use of cultural conventions such as courtesies and gestures. <p>The student uses appropriate New Zealand Curriculum level 8 communication skills, language and cultural knowledge, for example:</p> <p><i>Teacher – Wir hatten alles so viel besser als ihr in den guten alten 70er Jahren.</i></p> <p><i>Student – Quatsch! Wie oft haben Sie uns gesagt, dass wir heutzutage ein einfacheres Leben führen können?</i></p> <p><i>Teacher – Kann sein, aber unsere Nahrung zum Beispiel war relativ gesehen viel gesünder als heute</i></p> <p><i>Student – Geben Sie es doch zu! Sie haben auch gesagt, Sie haben nur Weißbrot gegessen, und wöchentlich Fisch mit Pommes, was so überhaupt nicht gesund ist.</i></p> <p><i>Teacher – Richtig, aber wisst ihr, wie wenig Fast-Food ihr essen solltet? Und als Teenager hatten wir immer frisches Gemüse aus dem eigenen Garten.</i></p> <p><i>Student – Aber das war doch die Aufgabe von Ihren Eltern. Und übrigens, ihr Gemüse wurde immer einfach gekocht, und zwar mit Salz, und Salat gab es fast nie, oder?</i></p> <p><i>Teacher – Ja, ja, ja. Aber draußen auf der Straße konnten wir den ganzen Tag total sicher spielen. Das war unser Social-Networking.</i></p> <p>Interactions are not hindered by inconsistencies (in, for example, language features, pronunciation, intonation, rhythm patterns, delivery speed or audibility, stress patterns, or tones).</p> <p><i>The examples above are indicative samples only.</i></p>
--	---	---

--	--	--

Final grades will be decided using professional judgement based on a holistic examination of the evidence provided against the criteria in the Achievement Standard.

Interact

Show: [Languages Homepage](#) | [All Languages clarifications](#)

Interact clearly to explore and justify varied ideas and perspectives in different situations.

Updated December 2016. This document has been updated to include language features.

Language Features

A repertoire of language features and strategies to maintain the interaction is required for this standard. For this reason, it does not produce evidence towards this standard if students write and learn scripted role plays by heart, or otherwise prepare the total interaction beforehand. Indicators of the ability to maintain an interaction will not be evident in such exchanges.

The standard at level 3 requires evidence of spontaneity, i.e. the ability to maintain and sustain an interaction without previous rehearsal

Features and strategies such as pausing, negotiating meaning, prompting, seeking clarification etc. can only be in evidence when the student is unaware of all questions that are asked and has not prepared all answers. For this reason, the use of cue cards would also render evidence invalid.

Interactions where students can react in a genuine way, and where they are able to naturally control the direction of the interaction, give students the opportunity to meet the criteria.

Range

The standard calls for a minimum of two interactions and these must be in different situations. This will mean that the context and/or purpose and/or type will be different for each interaction, e.g. negotiating the best way to spend a Saturday evening with friends will necessitate different language from a discussion of what students did in the holidays.

Assessing the collection of evidence

The grade will be awarded for the collection of interactions assessed as a whole, i.e. each interaction will not be assessed individually - the grade will be derived from the overall quality of the work. Students must show that they are working consciously and reasonably consistently at the level, rather than accidentally and occasionally.

The sample tasks suggest about four to five minutes interaction in total for level 3.

Language

Incorrect language/inconsistencies will only affect a grade if they hinder communication. It is important to note that accuracy is not a criterion of this standard. In a realistic conversation by learners of a second language, errors are natural and should not be overly penalised.

Whilst inconsistencies that do not affect communication will not affect the grade, for Excellence, there needs to be sufficient language, outside the language with errors, that contributes to the criteria of the successful use of a range of language.

At level 3, students need to move beyond simply providing information and into exploring and justifying a variety of ideas and perspectives. This will involve evaluating, explaining and providing supporting evidence. Students could also support or challenge the ideas and perspectives of others.

Achievement Standard

Subject Reference	German 3.5				
Title	Write a variety of text types in clear German to explore and justify varied ideas and perspectives				
Level	3	Credits	5	Assessment	Internal
Subfield	Languages				
Domain	German				
Status	Registered	Status date	4 December 2012		
Planned review date	31 December 2020	Date version published	4 December 2012		

This achievement standard involves writing a variety of text types in clear German to explore and justify varied ideas and perspectives.

Achievement Criteria

Achievement	Achievement with Merit	Achievement with Excellence
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Write a variety of text types in clear German to explore and justify varied ideas and perspectives. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Write a variety of text types in clear convincing German to explore and justify varied ideas and perspectives. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Write a variety of text types in clear effective German to explore and justify varied ideas and perspectives.

Explanatory Notes

- 1 This achievement standard is derived from the Learning Languages learning area, Communication strand, Curriculum Level 8 of *The New Zealand Curriculum*, Learning Media, Ministry of Education, 2007; and is related to the material in the *Teaching and Learning Guide for Languages*, Ministry of Education, 2012 at <http://seniorsecondary.tki.org.nz>.

- 2 *Write a variety of text types in clear German* involves organising text in a linguistically and culturally appropriate format and style, and organising informed content which is fit for purpose and audience. Communication is achieved overall, despite inconsistencies such as:
 - format
 - spelling
 - lexical choice
 - level of formality
 - language conventions
 - language features.

Write a variety of text types in clear convincing German involves developing ideas and perspectives in German which is generally credible and connected. A range of language and language features are selected and used that are fit for purpose and audience. Communication is not significantly hindered by inconsistencies.

Write a variety of text types in clear effective German involves developing ideas and perspectives in German which is controlled and integrated. Language and language features are capably selected and successfully used that are fit for purpose and audience. Communication is not hindered by inconsistencies.

- 3 *Variety of text types* refers to a range of different text types which have been created for different audiences and purposes.
 - 4 *Clear* refers to language that gives no doubt as to intended meaning.
 - 5 *Explore and justify varied ideas and perspectives* involves evaluating and giving explanations or evidence to support own ideas and perspectives as well as supporting or challenging those of others.
 - 6 The quality of the texts, considered as a whole, is more important than length.
 - 7 Conditions of Assessment related to this achievement standard can be found at www.tki.org.nz/e/community/ncea/conditions-assessment.php.
-

Replacement Information

This achievement standard replaced unit standard 12242, unit standard 12243, unit standard 12244, AS90568, and AS90569.

Quality Assurance

- 1 Providers and Industry Training Organisations must have been granted consent to assess by NZQA before they can register credits from assessment against achievement standards.
- 2 Organisations with consent to assess and Industry Training Organisations assessing against achievement standards must engage with the moderation system that applies to those achievement standards.

Consent and Moderation Requirements (CMR) reference

0233



Internal Assessment Resource

Languages Level 3

This resource supports assessment against:

Achievement Standard 91552

Standard title: Write a variety of text types in clear German to explore and justify varied ideas and perspectives

Credits: 5

Resource title: On exchange

Resource reference: Languages 3.5A v3 German

This resource:

- Clarifies the requirements of the Standard
- Supports good assessment practice
- Should be subjected to the school's usual assessment quality assurance process
- Should be modified to make the context relevant to students in their school environment and ensure that submitted evidence is authentic

Date version published by Ministry of Education	March 2017 Version 3 To support internal assessment from 2017
Quality assurance status	These materials have been quality assured by NZQA. NZQA Approved number: A-A-03-2017-91552-03-6391
Authenticity of evidence	Teachers must manage authenticity for any assessment from a public source, because students may have access to the assessment schedule or student exemplar material. Using this assessment resource without modification may mean that students' work is not authentic. The teacher may need to change figures, measurements or data sources or set a different context or topic to be investigated or a different text to read or perform.

Internal Assessment Resource

Achievement standard: 91552

Standard title: Write a variety of text types in clear German to explore and justify varied ideas and perspectives

Credits: 5

Resource title: On exchange

Resource reference: Languages 3.5A v3 German

Teacher guidelines

The following guidelines are supplied to enable teachers to carry out valid and consistent assessment using this internal assessment resource.

Teachers need to be very familiar with the outcome being assessed by the achievement standard. The achievement criteria and the explanatory notes contain information, definitions, and requirements that are crucial when interpreting the standard and assessing students against it.

Context/setting

This activity requires students to imagine they are going on exchange to Germany. In this context, they produce a minimum of two pieces of writing, either a formal letter, a personal blog entry, or a magazine article.

Adapt or replace any of the suggested scenarios that are not appropriate or sufficiently real for your students. For example, the personal blog entry could be about cellphone use in schools instead of the impact of technology on education.

This assessment activity could be used in conjunction with assessment for Achievement Standard 91550 *Interact clearly using spoken German to explore and justify varied ideas and perspectives in different situations*. In other words, students could be assessed for their interactive speaking and their writing using the same learning context. If this is done, ensure that the students are not achieving double credit for simply reusing the same ideas and language.

This resource material should be read in conjunction with:

- the Senior Secondary Teaching and Learning Guides for languages, <http://seniorsecondary.tki.org.nz/>
- NCEA Level 3 Languages Conditions of Assessment, <http://ncea.tki.org.nz/Resources-for-Internally-Assessed-Achievement-Standards>

Conditions

Your overall judgement will be made on the basis of the total evidence contained in the pieces of writing. The evidence should be sufficient to demonstrate that the student is working at the level reasonably consistently rather than accidentally and occasionally.

Resource requirements

You could support students with accessing and using a range of resources to help them draft and revise their writing, for example, search engines, word lists, spelling and grammar checkers, pamphlets, dictionaries, textbooks, and grammar notes.

Additional information

None.

Internal Assessment Resource

Achievement standard: 91552

Standard title: Write a variety of text types in clear German to explore and justify varied ideas and perspectives

Credits: 5

Resource title: On exchange

Resource reference: Languages 3.5A v3 German

Student instructions

Introduction

Imagine you are an exchange student to Germany. In this context, you are required to produce a minimum of two written texts in German within the following scenarios:

- a formal letter of application
- a personal blog entry
- an article in a school magazine.

Write in a format and style that is linguistically and culturally appropriate. Ensure that the content is clear, informed, well organised, and fit for the purpose and audience.

All work must be your own. Extracts from external sources should not be included without acknowledging the sources. Any extracts from external sources will not be considered in the final judgement. Language from the language samples may not be used unless it is significantly reworked.

There is no word limit but it is recommended that, across the texts, you write a total of about 400–500 words. Quality is however more important than quantity.

You may draft and revise your writing. You may use resources such as search engines, word lists, spelling and grammar checkers, pamphlets, dictionaries, text books, and grammar notes to help you when you are drafting and revising. Only your final versions will be assessed.

You will be assessed on how effectively you use German to explore and justify a variety of ideas and perspectives in your pieces of writing.

Task

Imagine that you are an exchange student to Germany. Within this context write a minimum of two texts, in German, within the following scenarios: a formal application letter, a personal blog entry, or a school magazine article.

In your writing, you should:

- express, explore, and justify (with explanations or evidence) your own ideas and perspectives
- explore and support/challenge (with explanations or evidence) the ideas and perspectives of others

- use language and language features that are fit for purpose and audience
- include some ideas and information from sources other than your own direct experience (for example, articles, films, or discussions with nativespeakers).

Use the following scenarios as the basis for your written texts. The bullet points are suggestions only.

Use your language and cultural knowledge to organise each text so that it is appropriate for the purpose and audience.

Formal application letter

After discussion with your classmates about the pros and cons of going on an exchange to Germany, you have decided that you would like to spend a year there as an exchange student.

Write a formal letter in German to a student exchange organisation, applying to go on an exchange programme to Germany. In your letter, you could discuss:

- your personal background, history of learning German, and your motivation for visiting Germany
- the linguistic, cultural, and social advantages of spending a year as an exchange student in Germany
- the challenges that you expect to encounter and your strategies for overcoming them
- your expectations, hopes, or plans for the year of being an exchange student
- how you see yourself benefiting from the experience.

Personal blog entry

You are on exchange in Germany. Your class has had a debate on the moot that “Technology has a positive impact on education”. After the debate, you feel strongly about this issue and write an entry for your personal blog. In your blog entry, you could include some of the following:

- your reflections on some of the perspectives voiced by participants in the debate
- descriptions of technological tools (for example, computer, laptop, cellphone, digital camera, i-pod) used in teaching and learning, and how they are used
- consideration of the effectiveness of technology used for teaching and learning (Does it make lessons more interesting? Does it help students learn better?)
- some advantages and disadvantages of using technology in teaching and learning
- comparisons between the impact of technology on education in Germany and in New Zealand.

School magazine article

You have spent a year in Germany as an exchange student. At the end of your stay, you have been invited to write an article for the school magazine that explores and challenges some cultural stereotypes.

In your article, you could discuss some of the following:

- common perceptions or stereotypes of certain cultural practices in Germany
- whether your first impression of Germany confirmed or challenged these stereotypes

- how your understanding and perceptions of Germany and German culture have changed throughout the year
 - advice to future exchange students on how to deal with issues relating to cultural stereotypes.
-

Across the texts, aim to:

- write clearly, so that you communicate your intended meaning
- use language in a way that is controlled and integrated
- make appropriate use of New Zealand Curriculum level 8 communication skills, language and cultural knowledge.

As far as possible, avoid inconsistencies that might hinder communication (for example, inconsistencies in format, spelling, lexical choice, level of formality, language conventions, or language features).

Assessment schedule: Languages 91552 German – On exchange

Evidence/Judgements for Achievement	Evidence/Judgements for Achievement with Merit	Evidence/Judgements for Achievement with Excellence
<p>In clear German, the student has produced at least two written texts of various types for the agreed scenarios. The total length of the texts is approximately 400–500 words.</p> <p>Across the texts, the student explores and justifies varied ideas and perspectives. They do this by:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> evaluating and giving explanations or evidence to support their own ideas and perspectives supporting or challenging the ideas and perspective of others. <p>Their writing:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> is organised in a linguistic and culturally appropriate format and style consists of content that is informed and fit for the purpose and audience makes appropriate use of New Zealand Curriculum level 8 communication skills, language and cultural knowledge, for example: <p><i>Ich möchte mich um diese Stelle als Austauschschülerin bewerben. Vielen Dank für diese Gelegenheit. Hoffentlich werde ich meine Ziele verwirklichen. Unter Anderem möchte ich persönlich herausfinden, wie das Alltagsleben in Deutschland wirklich ist und ob die Klischees wahr sind.</i></p> <p>Communication is achieved overall despite inconsistencies (such as format, spelling, lexical choice, level of formality, language conventions, or language features).</p> <p><i>The examples above are indicative samples only.</i></p>	<p>In clear, convincing German, the student has produced at least two written texts of various types for the agreed scenarios. The total length of the texts is approximately 400–500 words.</p> <p>Across the texts, the student explores and justifies varied ideas and perspectives. They do this by using language that is generally credible and connected to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> evaluate and give explanations or evidence to support their own ideas and perspectives support or challenge the ideas and perspectives of others. <p>Their writing:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> demonstrates use of a range of language and language features that are fit for the purpose and audience is organised in a linguistic and culturally appropriate format and style makes appropriate use of New Zealand Curriculum level 8 communication skills, language and cultural knowledge, for example: <p><i>Ich bin sehr froh, mich um diese Stelle als AustauschschülerIn zu bewerben. Ich habe mir jetzt einige Ziele gesetzt, und ich freue mich auf die Gelegenheit, hoffentlich alle davon zu verwirklichen. Unter Anderem frage ich mich, welche alltäglichen Klischees in Deutschland doch stimmen und welche nicht.</i></p> <p>Communication is not significantly hindered by inconsistencies (such as format, spelling, lexical choice, level of formality, language conventions, or language features).</p> <p><i>The examples above are indicative samples only.</i></p>	<p>In clear, effective German, the student has produced at least two written texts of various types for the agreed scenarios. The total length of the texts is approximately 400–500 words.</p> <p>Across the texts, the student explores and justifies varied ideas and perspectives. They do this by using language that is controlled and integrated to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> evaluate and give explanations or evidence to support their own ideas and perspectives support or challenge the ideas and perspectives of others. <p>Their writing:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> demonstrates capable selection and successful use of a range of language and language features that are fit for the purpose and audience is organised in a linguistic and culturally appropriate format and style makes appropriate use of New Zealand Curriculum level 8 communication skills, language and cultural knowledge, for example: <p><i>Ich möchte mich herzlich dafür bedanken, dass ich die Möglichkeit habe, mich um diese Stelle als AustauschschülerIn zu bewerben. Selbstverständlich muss ich jetzt überlegen, welche Ziele ich mir setzen werde und wie ich sie verwirklichen könnte. Ein Ziel wäre natürlich, einige Klischees über das Alltagsleben in Deutschland persönlich untersuchen zu können.</i></p> <p>Communication is not hindered by inconsistencies (such as format, spelling, lexical choice, level of formality, language conventions, or language features).</p> <p><i>The examples above are indicative samples only.</i></p>

Final grades will be decided using professional judgement based on a holistic examination of the evidence provided against the criteria in the Achievement Standard.

Writing

Show: [Languages Homepage](#) | [All Languages clarifications](#)

Write a variety of text types to explore and justify varied ideas and perspectives

Updated December 2016. The section on feedback and feed forward has been updated.

Feedback and feed forward on drafts

Teacher feedback and feed forward on students' drafts should be holistic to ensure that the final product remains a true representation of the student's ability. More than one opportunity for feedback will compromise authenticity. Complex language, with few or no errors, may indicate that too much feedback has been given.

Use of resources

Authentic texts, native speakers, exemplars and digital tools are resources. Students need to be aware that they cannot copy large chunks of text, and that they must adapt and rework the language. Students need to reference direct text in their work, and this will not count as evidence of language. It is inappropriate to have native speakers providing large chunks of language or correcting drafts.

Text type

The context and/or purpose and/or text type (a minimum of two) will be different for each piece, e.g. a personal email to a French host family, a blog posting on the class French site about an activity that has taken place and their opinion about it, an invitation to a future party including instructions on what to bring, etc.

Curriculum level

The standard requires students to explore and justify varied ideas and perspectives. This involves evaluating and giving explanations or evidence to support their own ideas and perspectives as well as supporting or challenging those of others. To achieve the standard, within the texts, there needs to be evidence of each of the above.

Assessing the collection of evidence

The grade will be awarded for the pieces of writing assessed as a whole, i.e. each piece will not be assessed individually; rather, the grade will be derived from the overall quality of the work. Students must show that they are working consciously and reasonably consistently at the level, rather than accidentally and occasionally. Incorrect language/inconsistencies will only affect a grade if they hinder communication. Whilst inconsistencies that do not affect communication will not affect the grade, for Excellence, there needs to be sufficient language, outside the language with errors, that contributes to the criteria of capable selection and successful use of language.

Word limits

There is no word limit for this standard. The sample tasks suggest the following approximate word/character/kana count for level 3: 500 characters for Chinese, 1000 kana for Japanese, or 500 words for all other languages. These are a realistic expectation of the amount that may be needed to provide sufficient evidence from which to make a judgement. At all times quality is more important than quantity.

Assessment Specifications

Level 3 German 2020

General information

Domain	German
Mode of assessment	Written examination
Standards	91548 91551

Information relating to both achievement standards

Instructions and questions will be in English.

Words in the texts that are not in the [NCEA Level 3 German Appendix for external assessment \(PDF, 95KB\)](#) will be glossed only if they are essential to understand the texts and answer the questions.

Candidates at Level 3 may be expected to make informed guesses about unfamiliar words using context and prior knowledge.

Texts may develop a line of argument relating to concrete and abstract matters of social interest.

The assessment will be available to candidates in paper or digital format. Further information about digital external assessments can be found on the [Digital Assessments: NCEA Online](#) page.

Specific information for individual achievement standards

Standard	91548
Title	Demonstrate understanding of a variety of extended spoken German texts
Version	2
Number of credits	5

Format of the assessment

Candidates will listen and respond to recordings of up to 3 spoken texts, which they will hear as a whole and twice in sections, with a pause after each section.

As candidates listen, they may make notes in the listening notes boxes provided. Before each spoken text begins, they will have 30 seconds per question to preview the questions. At the end of each text, they will have time to review their notes and answers.

The total duration of the recordings will be up to 45 minutes.

In the digital format, candidates will listen to recordings through the device they are working on, using headphones. They will have control over when they start listening to the full passage and each repeated section, but will not be able to pause once a passage or section has started.

Standard	91551
-----------------	--------------

Title Demonstrate understanding of a variety of extended written and/or visual German texts

Version 1

Number of credits 5

Format of the assessment

Candidates will read and respond to up to 3 written texts representative of different text types in a resource booklet.

Achievement Standard

Subject Reference	German 3.1				
Title	Demonstrate understanding of a variety of extended spoken German texts				
Level	3	Credits	5	Assessment	External
Subfield	Languages				
Domain	German				
Status	Registered	Status date	4 December 2012		
Planned review date	31 December 2020	Date version published	12 December 2013		

This achievement standard involves demonstrating understanding of a variety of extended spoken German texts.

Achievement Criteria

Achievement	Achievement with Merit	Achievement with Excellence
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Demonstrate understanding of a variety of extended spoken German texts. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Demonstrate clear understanding of a variety of extended spoken German texts. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Demonstrate thorough understanding of a variety of extended spoken German texts.

Explanatory Notes

1 This achievement standard is derived from the Learning Languages learning area, Communication strand, Curriculum Level 8 of *The New Zealand Curriculum*, Learning Media, Ministry of Education, 2007; and is related to the material in the *Teaching and Learning Guide for Languages*, Ministry of Education, 2012 at <http://seniorsecondary.tki.org.nz>.

2 *Demonstrate understanding* involves making meaning of spoken German by responding in own choice of English, Te Reo Māori and/or German to the information and varied perspectives in the German heard.

Demonstrate clear understanding involves selecting relevant information and varied perspectives from the texts and communicating them unambiguously.

Demonstrate thorough understanding involves expanding on relevant information and varied perspectives from the texts with supporting detail. Evidence shows understanding of the implied meanings or conclusions within the text.

- 3 *Spoken German texts* refers to a variety of aural German passages eg media extracts on topics of social interest, conversations, interviews, short stories, reports. The texts will reflect the relationship between language and culture and be adapted as appropriate.
 - 4 *Extended* refers to developed texts on both concrete and abstract matters. This may include texts that develop a line of argument.
 - 5 Evidence may include:
 - clarifying information, ideas and opinions
 - summarising information, ideas and opinions
 - producing, annotating, amending, and completing visual representations of ideas eg maps, sketches, diagrams
 - rephrasing German statements for a different or specific purpose eg making a recommendation, giving advice.
 - 6 Assessment Specifications for this achievement standard can be accessed through the Languages Resources page found at <http://www.nzqa.govt.nz/qualifications-standards/qualifications/ncea/subjects/>.
-

Replacement Information

This achievement standard replaced unit standard 12238 and AS90564.

Quality Assurance

- 1 Providers and Industry Training Organisations must have been granted consent to assess by NZQA before they can register credits from assessment against achievement standards.
- 2 Organisations with consent to assess and Industry Training Organisations assessing against achievement standards must engage with the moderation system that applies to those achievement standards.

Consent and Moderation Requirements (CMR) reference

0233

Achievement Standard

Subject Reference	German 3.4				
Title	Demonstrate understanding of a variety of extended written and/or visual German texts				
Level	3	Credits	5	Assessment	External
Subfield	Languages				
Domain	German				
Status	Registered	Status date	4 December 2012		
Planned review date	31 December 2020	Date version published	4 December 2012		

This achievement standard involves demonstrating understanding of a variety of extended written and/or visual German texts.

Achievement Criteria

Achievement	Achievement with Merit	Achievement with Excellence
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Demonstrate understanding of a variety of extended written and/or visual German texts. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Demonstrate clear understanding of a variety of extended written and/or visual German texts. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Demonstrate thorough understanding of a variety of extended written and/or visual German texts.

Explanatory Notes

1 This achievement standard is derived from the Learning Languages learning area, Communication strand, Curriculum Level 8 of *The New Zealand Curriculum*, Learning Media, Ministry of Education, 2007; and is related to the material in the *Teaching and Learning Guide for Languages*, Ministry of Education, 2012 at <http://seniorsecondary.tki.org.nz>.

2 *Demonstrate understanding* involves making meaning of written and/or visual German by responding in own choice of English/Te Reo Māori and/or German to the information and varied perspectives in the German read and/or viewed.

Demonstrate clear understanding involves selecting relevant information and varied perspectives from the texts and communicating them unambiguously.

Demonstrate thorough understanding involves expanding on relevant information and varied perspectives from the texts with supporting detail. Evidence shows understanding of the implied meanings or conclusions, where relevant, within the texts.

- 3 *Written and/or visual German texts* refers to a variety of German texts eg media extracts on topics of social interest, short stories, articles, blogs, images, advertisements, diagrams, posters, programmes, and reports. The texts reflect the relationship between language and culture and are adapted as appropriate.
 - 4 *Extended texts* refer to developed texts on both concrete and abstract matters. This may include texts that develop a line of argument.
 - 5 Evidence may include:
 - clarifying information, ideas and opinions
 - summarising information, ideas and opinions
 - producing, annotating, amending, or completing visual representations of ideas eg maps, sketches, diagrams
 - rephrasing German statements for a different or specific purpose eg making a recommendation or giving advice.
 - 6 Assessment Specifications for this achievement standard can be accessed through the Languages Resources page found at <http://www.nzqa.govt.nz/qualifications-standards/qualifications/ncea/subjects/>.
-

Replacement Information

This achievement standard replaced unit standard 12241, unit standard 12246, and AS90567.

Quality Assurance

- 1 Providers and Industry Training Organisations must have been granted consent to assess by NZQA before they can register credits from assessment against achievement standards.
- 2 Organisations with consent to assess and Industry Training Organisations assessing against achievement standards must engage with the moderation system that applies to those achievement standards.

Consent and Moderation Requirements (CMR) reference

0233

2020 EXAMINATION TIMETABLE

Exams available digitally shown in blue

Date	Time	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Scholarship
Mon 16 Nov	9.30 am	Te Reo Rangatira	Physics	Business Studies	Calculus
	2.00 pm	Chinese	German	Dance	Agricultural & Horticultural Science
Tue 17 Nov	9.30 am	Media Studies	Earth & Space Science	Drama	Chemistry
	2.00 pm		Classical Studies		German
Wed 18 Nov	9.30 am	Agricultural & Horticultural Science	Art History	Statistics	
	2.00 pm	Geography	Spanish	Earth & Space Science	Drama
Thu 19 Nov	9.30 am		Mathematics & Statistics		Biology
	2.00 pm	Music	Accounting	History	French
Fri 20 Nov	9.30 am	Mathematics & Statistics			Classical Studies
	2.00 pm		Drama	Samoan	Statistics
WEEKEND					
Mon 23 Nov	9.30 am	French	Te Reo Māori	Calculus	
	2.00 pm	Economics		Accounting	
Tue 24 Nov	9.30 am		English		Religious Studies
	2.00 pm	History	Chinese	Biology	Economics
Wed 25 Nov	9.30 am	English			Te Reo Rangatira
	2.00 pm	Drama	History	Health	Physics
Thu 26 Nov	9.30 am	Business Studies	Chemistry	Agricultural & Horticultural Science German Chinese	English
	2.00 pm	Biology	Music	Media Studies	Accounting
Fri 27 Nov	9.30 am	Science		Making Music	History
	2.00 pm	Samoan Spanish	Geography	Chemistry	
WEEKEND					
Mon 30 Nov	9.30 am	Te Reo Māori Art History	Japanese	Te Reo Rangatira Social Studies Psychology	Samoan
	2.00 pm	Latin	Agricultural & Horticultural Science		Geography
Tue 1 Dec	9.30 am	Accounting		English	
	2.00 pm	Home Economics	Economics		Media Studies
Wed 2 Dec	9.30 am	German	Biology	Geography	
	2.00 pm	Dance		Physics	
Thu 3 Dec	9.30 am	Physics	Home Economics	Classical Studies	Japanese
	2.00 pm		Media Studies	Home Economics	Art History
Fri 4 Dec	9.30 am	Chemistry	Business Studies	French	Te Reo Māori
	2.00 pm	Classical Studies	Health	Economics	
WEEKEND					
Mon 7 Dec	9.30 am	Japanese	Te Reo Rangatira Latin	Japanese	
	2.00 pm		French	Spanish	Latin
Tue 8 Dec	9.30 am	Health	Samoan Education for Sustainability	Te Reo Māori Latin	Chinese
	2.00 pm		Social Studies	Music Studies	Spanish
Wed 9 Dec	9.30 am	Social Studies	Dance	Art History	Earth & Space Science
EXAMS END (Term 4 ends 16 Dec)					